

#### **Myths and Truths About ADA Notification Proposals**

Efforts to undermine the landmark civil rights law, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), by requiring notification of barriers prior to pursuing remedies would harm people with disabilities. We address and dispel, below, the myths and misinformation about ADA notification proposals.

#### MYTH: ADA notification requirements would strengthen the Americans with Disabilities Act.

## **TRUTH:** ADA notification requirements would weaken the Americans with Disabilities Act and undermine one of the key goals of the law.

Title III of the ADA prohibits places of public accommodation (i.e., businesses or service establishments that are open to the public like grocery stores, doctors' offices, recreation facilities, private schools, homeless shelters) from discriminating against people with disabilities. If a person with a disability encounters an architectural barrier that prevents her from accessing the business, she has 3 options to try to fix the problem: speak with the business, file a complaint with the Department of Justice, or file a lawsuit as provided under the law.

ADA notification would upend a key provision of the ADA by preventing people with disabilities from immediately going to court to enforce their rights and to press for timely removal of the barrier that impedes access. Without this critical enforcement mechanism, compliance under the ADA would suffer and people with disabilities would be denied the access to which they are entitled to under the law.

- MYTH: A law requiring ADA notification doesn't harm people with disabilities because it merely delays the ability to go to court.
- TRUTH: ADA notification means that people with disabilities won't have access for weeks, months, or possibly years, and it removes any incentive for businesses to comply proactively with the ADA. This change in the law would be a boon for businesses at the expense of people with disabilities.

ADA notification requires people with disabilities to jump through numerous procedural hoops before they can commence a lawsuit to protect their rights. It also removes any reason for businesses to proactively comply with the ADA. Instead of ensuring that people with disabilities have access, as the law requires, businesses can wait until a customer confronts an obstruction and has completed the detailed notification process. There would be no incentive for a business to learn about ADA compliance and take steps prior to notification. "Wait and see" would become the norm.

## MYTH: Lawsuits under Title III of the ADA expose businesses to big damage awards for people with disabilities and their lawyers.

#### TRUTH: There are no money damages under Title III of the ADA.

Under Title III of the ADA, an individual who files a lawsuit and is successful is entitled to removal of the barrier and attorney's fees. There are no damage awards. Once a business removes the barrier in question, legal claims challenging that barrier under Title III no longer exist. Some state laws, however, do authorize money damages for non-compliance. Amending the federal ADA, as this bill does, would have no effect on lawsuits seeking damages under those state laws.

MYTH: It's too difficult for businesses, particularly small businesses, to understand their obligations under the ADA.

## **TRUTH:** Complying with the ADA is no more burdensome than complying with other laws and free resources exist to aid compliance.

Businesses must comply with many complex and detailed laws, including tax laws and health and safety laws. Civil rights laws and other laws protecting people with disabilities should not be treated differently.

Furthermore, free resources are available to help businesses comply with the ADA. The federal government funds the ADA National Network which provides free technical assistance to businesses about their responsibilities under the ADA. Specifically, there are 10 regional ADA centers that provide individual assistance, in-person trainings, webinars, and publications. There are also tax credits to help businesses remove barriers, including a specific small business tax credit.

## MYTH: A law requiring ADA notification is necessary to stop lawyers who abuse the ADA by filing frivolous lawsuits.

# TRUTH: An ADA notification law will do nothing to stop frivolous lawsuits. And there are ways to address the problem of unscrupulous attorneys without placing unwarranted burdens on the rights of people with disabilities.

Although a very small number of lawyers have filed significant numbers of lawsuits that may be frivolous or fraudulent, a "notice and cure" period would not eliminate fraud or frivolous suits. At best, it defers the lawsuit. This bill would allow businesses to delay meeting their obligations under the law – for weeks, months, or longer – at the expense of people with disabilities.

Additionally, there are established and tested avenues to address this problem. Courts and state bar examiners have the tools needed to shut down unscrupulous lawyers through sanctions, disciplinary measures, and other steps. These mechanisms have been, and continue to be, successfully used to address fraudulent and unethical practices under Title III.

For additional information or questions please contact Heather Ansley, Associate Executive Director of Government Relations, Paralyzed Veterans of America, <u>heathera@pva.org</u>; Jennifer Mathis, Director of Policy and Legal Advocacy, Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law, <u>jenniferm@bazelon.org</u>